West Virginia Conservation Agency 319 NPS Septic Program



Kara Grosso Conservation Specialist II Greenbrier Valley Conservation District Pocahontas, Greenbrier, Monroe Counties





Work area

319 NPS program projects are done on HUC10 or HUC12 scale



West Virginia Conservation Agency

Documenting improvements in streams

- Metric = Fecal Coliform Bacteria
 - Measuring
 - Measurement unit is CFU/100mL. WQ standards are in concentrations.
 - EPA recommendations for body-contact = <200 CFU/100 mL.
 - o 303(d) listing and delisting decisions are based on concentration.
 - Modeling
 - Modeled by loading. A pollutant load is the total amount of the pollutant in a volume of water, generally the discharge of a stream per day. Pollutant loading can be measured indirectly by measuring concentration and discharge.
 - The TMDL and load reduction estimates are modeled.
 - Collecting data that is valid in WQX requires a QAPP



Monitoring in your watershed

- Determine the following:
 - o Scale

.

- Will you monitor a single area or the whole watershed?
- o Resources
 - How much time and energy can you put into your monitoring plan?
 - Will you hire a consultant or delegate duties internally?
- o Goals
 - Do you want to add data to WQX?
 - Develop a QAPP
 - Do you want to potentially delist the stream?
 - o Requires intense monitoring plan developed with WVDEP
 - Frequent sampling of pre-TMDL monitoring sites
 - Do you want to refine the watershed-based plan?
 - Narrow down problematic areas, report work done and measured outcomes
 - What parameters do you have interest and capacity to measure?
 - o DO, conductivity, temperature, pH, fecal coliform, TSS, turbidity



Documenting improvements in streams

- Measuring improvements
 - Fecal coliform can have growth or die off based on conditions or over time as it moves downstream.
 - UV exposure and cold weather cause die off
 - Best to monitor monthly for 12 months to cover all seasons
 - The amount of recent runoff and water in the stream affects concentration
 - Best to monitor at a range of stream stages
 - Collect samples for analysis of total suspended solids to indicate range of flow
 - Even though more water can cause dilution, more water means more runoff which can increase loading
 - Analysis of samples
 - o 6 hour holding time
 - o Certified lab



Modeling load reductions

- Estimate by models linking BMPs and load reductions
- The WVDEP has developed a dimensional analysis to model FC load reductions:

$$\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{1 \text{ year}}\right) * \left(\frac{50 \text{ gal}}{1 \text{ day person}}\right) * \left(\frac{3,785.4 \text{ mL}}{1 \text{ gal}}\right) * \left(\frac{10,000 \text{ CFU}}{100 \text{ mL}}\right) * \left(\frac{2.4 \text{ people}}{1 \text{ house}}\right) * \left(\frac{100\% \text{ efficiency}}{100}\right) = 1.66\text{E}+10 \text{ CFU/year/house}$$

- The same equation is used to calculate load reduction per septic pumping, however 25 gallons is used in place of 50 gallons of sewage per day with a 50% efficiency = 4.15E+09 CFU/year/house.
- These load reduction estimates are reported in semi-annual and final grant reports based on the number of projects completed during the reporting period.



Signs of a failing or outdated septic system:

- sewage backing up into the house
- sewage smell outside
- soggy lawn around drain field
- water pooling on the drain field
- straight pipe into a nearby stream
- sewage draining into an open area
- system installed prior to 1982





Class I (conventional) and Class II (alternative) septic systems

- Class I, or conventional septic systems, consist of a septic tank and a drain field where effluent is filtered through the soil before reaching the groundwater
 - Soil that has a low percolation rate or a high water table may not be suitable for a Class I septic system
- Class II systems use alternative methods instead of a gravity fed leach field to filter effluent where Class I systems will not work
 - An example is a mounded system where effluent is pumped to the top of a mound of material that it can filter through
 - Cost about 2x as much as conventional systems
 - Septic installers must receive specific certification to install class II systems



Class I System













West Virginia Conservation Agency

https://www.flickr.com/photos/mpcaphotos/34827651135

FAQ

- How did you do outreach?
 - EDDM, word of mouth, community boards
- How did you supply match for the §319 grant?
 - Landowner portion of cost, time and mileage, other projects in watershed
- How long did it take to catch on?
 - \circ ~1 year
- With whom did you partner to get it done?
 - WVDEP, county sanitarian, local contractors, watershed associations
- What were the requirements for the septic system owner?
 - Complete an application, schedule sanitarian visits, get estimates from contractors, schedule contractor work, provide project updates, submit invoices



FAQ

- Did you review cases with the sanitarians?
 - Sanitarians email their report but will also meet with you on site if you ask
- Did you pump tanks where there should not have been a leach field?
 - Yes, we will cost-share on a pumping regardless of whether the system should be replaced or not
- What would you change about how you have run these programs?
 - Always meet with the landowner in person to explain your program and have them apply in person. This prevents them from having work done before you enter a contract for cost-share.
- What changes in the creeks have you seen because of these programs?
 - We have modeled load reductions but have not yet done intense water quality monitoring for this program (Ag BMP only)

